

Grant Cameron  
649 Silverstone Ave.  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
Canada R3T 2V8  
Jan. 15, 1990

Glen Meek  
KTNV-TV  
Las Vegas, Nev.

Dear Glen,

Firstly, a couple of new bits of information. Apparently Lazar owned a business called Jet Processing, which was a film processing company. He also was said to have had an electronics, computer, and check processing company. I was also told that Lazar's wife is now back in town, and that the two are speaking. Apparently Lazar's wife ran United Nuclear, so some of these other businesses may be registered under her name. This information from a researcher in Las Vegas who obtained some of this from a couple who were friends of the Lazar's, and who were two of the five that were taken to the desert to watch the tests. The woman was apparently quite impressed with what she saw. I do not have their names, but I don't think it would be too hard for you to find out.

More importantly I spoke to Scott McKenzie, and we went over all the areas of what may be going on up at the test site. As you know Scott is very doubtful of all of it.

In reviewing the conversation, a number of things became apparent which did not occur to me while I was talking to Scott. As, I assume he is working for you, you might pass some of these items past him to hopefully help discover what is really going on.

Scott stated that he had no trouble collecting information about Mr. Lazar, but that the education thing seemed to have no basis, and therefore serious doubts must be raised. As you know Lazar has claimed that these records were erased. As Scott has stated he is able to obtain information on Lazar, perhaps he can help resolve whether or not the records have been destroyed. Rather than focusing on the Masters degree, which may or may not exist, can you ask Scott to produce a birth certificate which Lazar also maintains is gone. There can be no dispute that this did exist.

As to the Masters degree we have put a question to Lazar as to who his advisors were for the Masters. I have not heard yet what his response was. Scott stated that Lazar refused to answer any of his questions, and I believe you stated the same thing. Stanton Friedman, who appeared on the Nov. 25 KLAS special, will be interviewing Lazar shortly. You have your chance to get your questions answered. I am sure Stanton would have no objection to

asking whatever questions you want to pass to him.

Another question I put to Lazar was to draw the test range with all the 29 or 30 sites. Apparently Mr. Lazar did this for a Secret Flight in Vegas, and I heard that there was some sort of independent confirmation that the map was accurate. Scott told me that he had numerous sources at the test range. Perhaps he can produce a map that we can compare to Lazar's. If the map that I asked him to draw agrees with the map drawn two months ago, and with Scott's, we can then at least determine that Lazar knows what is going on at Dreamland, or that he was fed the information by someone who wants us to believe he had been up there.

On this point, of a possible counter-intelligence operation by Groom Lake officials, Scott totally disagreed stating that "they" would never risk such a thing, and that they would surely be discovered. History, however, shows that "they" have gotten away with it before, and that no one lifted a finger to stop them. As both you and Scott mentioned the Aviation Week article, you must have some respect for material that appears there. Therefore, let me make my case partly with material that has appeared there.

1) Enclosure 1 - In response to a FOIA request on the MJ-12 document, researcher R.W.Koch was referred to an article on the "investigation of UFO's." As you can see the Aviation Week article that was sent with the letter, does not mention UFOs. Many researchers, however, would agree that disinformation does have a lot to do with UFOs. The question remains - Why run a disinformation program on something that doesn't exist? As you can see from the article Air Force testing in Nevada is mentioned.

2) Enclosure 2 - From Phil Klass's ( still listed as a contributing editor at Aviation Week ) interview with former counter-intelligence Master Sergeant Richard Doty, you can see that the entire MJ-12 affair may have been a gov't operation. This may not mean a lot to you, unless you followed the firestorm of controversy that surrounded the MJ-12 document since it's release in June 1987.

3) Enclosure 3 - I mentioned to you that Mr. Bill Moore had obtained some sort of authorization to watch a test of the "Coose" at Groom Lake in 83 or 84. From a speech given in Vegas last July you can again see that disinformation plays a large role in the government handling of UFOs. Hence, the idea that Lazar may be part of such an operation, can not be discounted.

Two of those who were part of this game being described by Mr. Moore were Richard Doty ( 505-287-7343 ) and Robert Collins ( 317-9644-5662 ). Both left the AF only two weeks before a national broadcast called " UFO Cover-up...Live." They have remained free from any type of discovery or prosecution. As you can see from the Klass interview:

behind security clearances even tho' the official government line is that UFOs are unclassified, and they have nothing to hide.

I hope that the Lazar affair can be resolved as true, or as a hoax. If it is a hoax, I hope Mr. McKenzie will keep his word, and move for prosecution. Most Ufologists would support such a move, and I would be the loudest cheerer, after seeing how easily Doty and Collins were allowed to walk free. Prosecution would give us a chance to find out what's so important, to force the US gov't to feed disinformation to it's own people who pay the bills.

Finally, a couple questions that the Lazar situation raises. I don't know if you or Scott have answers for them.

a) What is the "Alien Technology Centre" mentioned by James C. Goodall in his Gung-Ho article?

b) If the Lazar affair is a hoax, why is Nellis AFB so quite about it? What do they say when asked?

c) Moreover, why are they monitoring the stories being done by at least KTNV?

d) How does one explain the four other witnesses Knapp used for his report, who were apparently visited after their disclosures to Mr. Knapp?

Will inform you of any new developments. I hope you will do the same.

Sincerely,